

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/557,620	11/18/2005	Knut Asendorf	WSP241US	6037	
49003 MICHAEL L.	49003 7590 07/24/2008 MICHAEL L. DUNN			EXAMINER	
SIMPSON & SIMPSON, PLLC 5555 MAIN STREET			PARVINI, PEGAH		
	IKEET LLE, NY 14221		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/557.620 ASENDORF ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit PEGAH PARVINI 1793 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 November 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on November 18, 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20070330.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/557,620 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

## DETAILED ACTION

### Drawings

The drawings are objected to because hand-written segments which points to figure number and the part numbers can be found in the drawing; it would be appreciated to change them to typed and computerized letters and numbers. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1793

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Based on the specification, with reference to the additive used, the instant claims are found enabled for, only, polymeric methacrylamide.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

First, it is not clear as to what <u>breaking open</u> the enclosing <u>water</u> in "...an additive which breaks open the enclosing water..." means.

Second, the phrase "soil, preferably argillaceous material and/or coarse clay" is indefinite because the examiner is unclear as to whether it is soil or argillaceous material.

Art Unit: 1793

In addition, soil and clay are different, thus also rendering the scope of the claim unclear.

Third, the term "preferably" in claim 1 recites a narrow range, however, the claim also recites a broader range, thus rendering the scope of the claim unclear. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949).

Fourth, claim 1 recites the limitation "the enclosing water" and "the grain" in lines 2 and 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Further regarding, Claim 1, 112-sixth paragraph does apply to said claim because (1) "A means for sealing construction" is in the preamble; thus, it does not

Art Unit: 1793

further limit the claim, (2) as indicated by MPEP § 2181, the claim language itself provides sufficient and detailed acts for performing the specified function.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

<u>Claim 1</u> is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,340,385 to Wammes.

Wammes teaches a clay containing mixtures comprising three different sand fractions in which all contain bentonite, water, and acrylic acid-acrylamide copolymer wherein said copolymer is added in an amount of 0.3g which is almost 0.25% by weight of the total composition (Example, column 4). The reference, further, suggests that said mixture is used for sealing purposes and forming a moisture resistance composition (column 1, lines 12-16; column 4, lines 34-38). With reference to the amount of the polymer in volume percent, it is noted that the reference discloses a very small amount of said component which considering the very small volume percent of this component as claimed in claim 1, the 0.25% by weight is expected that once the weight percent is

Art Unit: 1793

converted to volume percent, it would have an overlapping portion with the amounts of said component in volume percent absence evidence to the contrary.

It is noted that "A means for sealing construction" is in the preamble. The recitations in the preamble do not further limit the scope of the claim unless they result in a structural difference. MPEP § 2111.02.

<u>Claim 1</u> is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 4,964,918 to Brown et al.

Brown et al. teach a composition for sealing a borehole, subgrade foundation structure and other cavities (Abstract; column 2, lines 27-30). Brown et al. disclose that said composition comprises a water swellable clay such as bentonite and 0.1-10% of a thinner such as certain low molecular weight polyacrylate polymers (column 1, lines 56-61; column 2, lines 40-45; column 3, lines 44-46). With reference to the amount of the polymer is volume percent, it is noted that the reference discloses a range of 0.1-10% of the polymer which is expected to have overlapping ranges with the amount instantly claimed considering the small amount of said component as claimed in volume percent in claim 1.

# Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PEGAH PARVINI whose telephone number is (571)272-2639. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:00am-4:30pm.

Art Unit: 1793

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/P. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 1793 /Michael A Marcheschi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793